

ETEXT ATTACHMENT

03/12/2003 21 : 17

Reference: October Quarterly Report

Line 11(a)(i) of the Detailed Summary Page discloses a total of \$110,407 in contribution from individuals. The sum of the entries on Schedule A shows the total to be \$116,907. We have found that the computer program is not totaling the individual pages correctly. Four of the pages have the wrong page amount. We added the contributions of 11(a) individually and came up with the total of \$119,059.50. We have done this numerous times and then added the totals for each page and found the discrepancies. As stated in our previous Form 99 of today, we have just realized our campaign program problems. The vendor has agreed there are problems and they do not know why at this time. We are continuing to work them out and to have a working program for future amendments, if there are any, and future reports.

Schedule F now correctly shows the Aggregate General Election Expenditures for Joseph Maxwell Cleland. Aristotle had me run the report again so they could see what we could see. However, just running it again corrected the problem and showed the aggregate amount where it was not showing in the original report. However, the total aggregate amount is also showing on the record above the last record, therefore showing an aggregate before it was actually reported. The final aggregate is correct, it just shows on both records. Aristotle's compliance officer was out of the office today and the technician did not know how to correct this, if correction was needed.

Schedule H2 shows a revised allocation ratio for GC02. GC is a club with an ongoing membership. There is no event date. It is a club that individuals can join at any time of the year.

The in kind reported on Schedule B from Selig Enterprises was erroneously reported. This was a 100 percent federal expenditure, not an in-kind. The report has been amended to reflect this change.

H4 has been amended to show credit card payments with memo entries for all vendors paid on the credit card. The original report did not have the correct unique identifiers to link the credit card to the individual vendors. This is now reported correctly.

Clarifying expenditures for canvassing on H4, our understanding is these were generic Democratic messages to get people to vote. Therefore we have not changed the purpose of these expenditures as they would be on the administration allocation.

As stated on our previous Form 99, the fundraising consulting fees have been amended to show consulting fees. This was a consultant paid under contract with a monthly retainer to do general fundraising, not related to any specific event.

Line 11(c) shows an incorrect total than the itemized contributions on Schedule A. We believe this is due to our previously mentioned campaign program problems of totaling amounts. When we added the individual amounts, we came up with the same total you see.

The B.W.W. Family Limited Partnership record has been amended to show two memo entries. The two partners equally contributed this contribution and the report has been amended to reflect this clarification.

As previously reported, the H1 ratio has been reallocated and filed in this amendment.

Sincerely,

Megan Middleton